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## Annotations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DO NOT ALLOW</td>
<td>Answers which are not worthy of credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGNORE</td>
<td>Statements which are irrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLOW</td>
<td>Answers that can be accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( )</td>
<td>Words which are not essential to gain credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_ _</td>
<td>Underlined words must be present in answer to score a mark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECF</td>
<td>Error carried forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>Alternative wording</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORA</td>
<td>Or reverse argument</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject-specific Marking Instructions

INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet Instructions for Examiners. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (a)</td>
<td>Transmitted intensity falls to minimum (after second filter is turned through 90°) and then rises to maximum at 180°/after half a rotation when planes of polarisation are parallel ✓ AW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>For m.p. 2 candidate must be clear that filters only pass light in one plane (of oscillation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filters only transmit light oscillating in one plane/plane of polarisation of filter 2 must match that of filter 1 AW ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>p.d. across LDR minimum at 0° and 180°/when planes of polarisation are parallel ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Or 180° and 360°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.d. across LDR maximum at 90° and 270°/when planes of polarisation are crossed ✓</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ORA. Look for clear link between light level and resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance of LDR falls as light intensity rises ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>ORA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low(er) proportion of total resistance when light intensity high(er) ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fourth marking point can be given for correct and clear use of potential divider equation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ii       | \[
3.01 = \frac{R_{\text{LDR}}}{6.00 \left( R_{\text{LDR}} + 400 \times 10^3 \Omega \right)} \checkmark
\]
Calculation to \( R_{\text{LDR}} = 4.03 \times 10^5 \Omega / 403 \text{k}\Omega \ ✓ | 2 | Correct bald answer merits both marks. Must use max p.d. value |
<p>|          | | | Unrounded ( R_{\text{LDR}} = 402.6755853... \text{k}\Omega ) |
| Total    | 8 | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2 (a)    | If (on average, at least) one neutron emitted in reaction interacts with U-235 nucleus **to produce further emission** ✓ AW Can be controlled by absorbing (a proportion of) emitted neutrons (so that they cannot lead to further neutron emissions) ✓ AW | 2 | Accept that all 3 neutrons are captured by U-235 nuclei
Not just ‘use control rods’. Need to be clear that the neutrons are not captured by U-235.
‘control rods to absorb neutrons’ just meets the m.p.2 |
| (b)      | Binding energy per nucleon of U-235 is less negative than the (average) binding energy per nucleon ✓ of the products ✓ ORA | 2 | Accept for one mark: Binding energy of the products is more negative/less than the binding energy of uranium-235. ORA. |
| (c)      | \[ E = (16 \times 10^6 \text{ eV} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J eV}^{-1}) = 2.56 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J} \] ✓ \[ m = E/c^2 = (16 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} \times 10^6)/(9 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-4}) \] ✓ \[ = 2.8 \times 10^{-29} \text{ (kg)} \] ✓ | 3 | Correct bald answer gains three marks \((E = 2.56 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J})\)
Unrounded \(m = 2.8444\ldots \times 10^{-29} \text{ (kg)}\)
Answer \(1.78 \times 10^{-10} \text{ kg gets one mark only.}\) |
| (d)      | number of fissions available = \(1.6 \times 10^8 \text{ kg}/3.9 \times 10^{-25} \text{ kg} = 4.1 \times 10^{32} \) ✓ energy available = \(4.1 \times 10^{32} \times (16 \times 10^6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ J})\times(30/100) = 3.15 \times 10^{20} \text{ J} \) ✓ time = \(3.15 \times 10^{20} \text{ J} / 1.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ J year}^{-1} = 225 \text{ years} \) ✓ | 4 | Accept answers in range 220 – 231 years for three marks.
If \(2.8 \times 10^{-29} \text{ kg} \) used instead of \(3.9 \times 10^{-25} \text{ kg} \) expect answer in region of \(3 \times 10^8 \text{ years award two marks.} \)
Alternative method:
number of fission reactions required per year = \(1.8 \times 10^{39} \) ✓
number of uranium atoms available = \(4.1 \times 10^{32} \) ✓ \(4.1 \times 10^{32} /1.8 \times 10^{30} = 228 \text{ years} \) ✓ |

More reserves may be found + reason (e.g. better detecting techniques/deeper core samples etc.) ✓
OR
Fewer reserves + reason (e.g. assumptions are made that similar rocks will yield similar amounts)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note that the question states that the rate of energy production is unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (a)</td>
<td>mass of $^{40}\text{K} = (0.012/100) \times 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{kg} = 6.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}$ ✓</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Allow ecf within question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>amount of $^{40}\text{K} = 6.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}/0.040 \text{kg} = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{mol}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no of $^{40}\text{K}$ nuclei, $N = 1.5 \times 10^6 \text{mol} \times 6.0 \times 10^{23} \text{mol}^{-1}$ = $9.0 \times 10^{17}$ ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decay constant $\lambda = \ln(2)/T_{1/2} = \ln(2)/4.1 \times 10^{16} \text{s}$ ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activity of 1 banana = $\lambda \times N = 1.69 \times 10^{-17} \text{s}^{-1} \times 9.0 \times 10^{17}$ = 15 Bq ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>On average over the 20 years, time since ingesting banana = 10 years ✓</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>m.p. 1 requires realisation that not all bananas will have been in the body for 20 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of bananas eaten in 20 years = 20 \times 52 \times 2 = 2080 ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Answer of 23.4 mSv gains four marks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of decays in this time from 2080 bananas = 2080 \times 10 \text{yr} \times 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{yr}^{-1} \times 15 \text{Bq}$ = 9.86 \times 10^{15} \text{decays}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy absorbed = $9.86 \times 10^{12} \times 8.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{J} = 0.818 \text{J}$ ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose = $0.818 \text{J} / 70 \text{kg} = 0.0117 \text{ Gy}$ ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equivalent dose in Sv = dose in Gy as quality factor = 1 equivalent dose = 0.0117 Sv = 11.7 mSv ($\approx$ 10 mSv) ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>No of cancers = $60 \times 10^{6} \times 0.0117 \text{Sv} \times (5/100) = 35000$ ✓</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 mSv $\Rightarrow 30000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Any two from:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mass of $^{40}\text{K}$ in 1 banana = $6.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}$ [from (a)] number of bananas’ worth in body = $2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{kg}/6.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg} = 330$ ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>These marks are independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• calculation of equivalent dose over twenty years from equilibrium level of $^{40}\text{K} = 3.8 \text{mSv}$.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allow 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ingested bananas will have little effect of amount of (^{40}\text{K}) in body/any extra is excreted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• assumption that all potassium ingested remains in body is incorrect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• (^{40}\text{K}) in body has other sources, not just bananas/other environmental sources of radiation are significantly greater than the dose from ingested (^{40}\text{K}) ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>( t = \sqrt{\frac{2s}{a}} = \sqrt{2 \times \frac{56}{9.8}} ) ✓&lt;br&gt;( = 3.4 \text{ s (2 s.f.)} ) ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Accept 3.38 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Force on body of mass ( m = mg )&lt;br&gt;Acceleration ( a = F/m = mg/m ) ✓&lt;br&gt;( mg/m = g ) independent of mass ✓ AW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>m.p.1 is for ( W=mg ) and ( F=ma )&lt;br&gt;m.p.2 is for equating these and eliminating ( m )&lt;br&gt;Arguing that ( g = \left(-\right)\frac{GM}{r^2} ), independent of mass ( m )&lt;br&gt;gives one mark.&lt;br&gt;Can gain both marks for clearly expressed non-algebraic reasoning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>( a = 9.80 \text{ (m s}^{-2}) ) ✓&lt;br&gt;( v = 3.92 \text{ (m s}^{-2}) ) ✓&lt;br&gt;( s = 0.39 \text{ (m)} ) ✓</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unrounded value is 9.7969…&lt;br&gt;Unrounded value is 3.922&lt;br&gt;Unrounded value is 0.392&lt;br&gt;Do not penalises excessive sig. figs. in this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (b) ii</td>
<td><strong>Level 3 (5–6 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Marshals argument in a clear manner. Makes clear, unambiguous comparison of results. Clearly explains the limits of iterative modelling and explains how the model can be improved. Shows understanding of the observational situation and the limits of precision of simple observation. Clearly draws all the ideas together to a logical conclusion.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Indicative scientific points may include:</strong>&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Model:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• time to fall = 3.85 to 3.90 s&lt;br&gt;• model holds acceleration / velocity constant over each time interval&lt;br&gt;• holding acceleration constant will suggest higher average velocity&lt;br&gt;• model underestimates time of fall&lt;br&gt;• model can be improved by reducing time intervals&lt;br&gt;• reducing time interval between calculations produces a model closer to continually varying acceleration.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Observational situation:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• less than one second/about ½ second difference between model without drag and model including drag.&lt;br&gt;• Time difference (of about ½ s) could be to be detected (if balls dropped simultaneously)&lt;br&gt;• If balls not dropped simultaneously, timing technology insufficiently accurate to distinguish drop times.&lt;br&gt;• Difference in time for fall between similar (but different) masses difficult to observe if $K$ values similar&lt;br&gt;• Observations would be naked eye/not have modern equipment (video etc)&lt;br&gt;• Subjective element in observational judgements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Level 2 (3–4 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Correctly retrieves data from graph and shows some understanding of limits of iterative modelling but this understanding may be incompletely expressed. Makes correct statements of the observational situation but does not draw these together into a reasoned conclusion.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Level 1 (1–2 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Retrieves data from graph and makes sensible comparison between the two predicted times. May make a superficial comment about iterative modelling but does not drill down to the fundamental reason for the inaccuracy. May make a superficial comment about the observational situation.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong>&lt;br&gt;No response or no response worthy of credit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Any three from:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AW throughout. Or ‘no particles in their way’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evacuating apparatus reduces interactions (over a given path length) ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deflections can affect outcome of experiment ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha particles highly ionising ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>So <strong>lose their energy</strong> in a short distance through interactions with air particles ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii</td>
<td>$r = 79 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 2 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 9 \times 10^9 / 4.5 \times 10^6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} = 5.056 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m} = 5.1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m} ✓$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accept $5.0 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}$ Correct bald answer gains m.p.1 &amp; m.p.2 Not just ‘smaller $r’$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smaller $r$ because a more energetic alpha particle would get closer to the nucleus before its electric potential energy is equal to its initial k.e. AW ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ECF within question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Gamma factor = $(150 \text{ MeV} + 0.51 \text{ MeV})/0.51 \text{ MeV} ✓ = 295 ✓$</td>
<td></td>
<td>If rest energy from numerator omitted, gamma factor = 294. Two marks maximum for the question. Must show clear working credit mp4 for e.g. $\sqrt{(1 - 1/295^2)} = 0.999994 \approx 1’$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$v = 3 \times 10^8 \times \sqrt{(1 - 1/295^2)} ✓ = 3.00 \times 10^8 \text{ to } 3 \text{ s.f. (calculator value} = 2.99998 \times 10^8 ✓$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii</td>
<td>$p = 1.5 \times 10^8 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}/3.00 \times 10^8 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-1} = 8.03 \times 10^{-20} \text{ N} \text{s} ✓$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ECF within question Correct bald answer gains all four marks Algebraic reasoning that $\lambda = h\nu/E$ gains credit for first mark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda = h/p = 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \text{s}/8.03 \times 10^{-20} \text{ N} \text{s} = 8.25 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m} ✓$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sin \theta = 1.2 \times 8.25 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}/3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m} = 0.33 ✓$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\theta = 19^\circ (\cdot4) ✓$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>via diffraction, Ag radius/Au radius = $(107/197)^{1/3} ✓$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Algebraic reasoning gains first and third marks. Working must be shown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|          | $= 0.82 \checkmark$<br>via closest approach,<br>Ag radius/Au radius = Ag nuclear charge/Au nuclear charge<br>$= \frac{47}{79} \checkmark$
|          | $= 0.59$ (which is significantly < 0.82) \checkmark | | Allow complete calculations.<br>Do not credit 0.81. (rounding error) |
|          | Total | 18 | |

Total 18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>(a)</strong> Conductance $G = 1/R = 1/0.13 , \Omega \checkmark , ( = 7.69 , S)$ conductivity $= 7.69 , S \times 1.5 , m/(\pi \times (2.5 \times 10^{-4} , m)^2) \checkmark$ $= 5.9 \times 10^7 , S , m^{-1} , (2 , s.f.) \checkmark$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Correct bold answer gains all 3 marks allow calculation of resistivity followed by $\sigma = 1/\rho$ for three marks. If resistivity $= 1.7 \times 10^{-8} , \Omega , m$ given on answer line, one mark only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(b)</strong> $v = I/nae$ $= 2.3 , A/(8.5 \times 10^{28} , m^{-3} \times \pi \times (2.5 \times 10^{-4} , m)^2 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} , C) \checkmark$ $= 8.6 \times 10^{-4} , m , s^{-1} \checkmark$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>m.p.1. is for correct rearrangements and substitution m.p.2 is correct evaluation. Correct bold answer gets both marks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 6 (c)**

**Level 3 (5–6 marks)**

Marshals argument in a clear manner. All technical vocabulary is used with accuracy and clarity. Explains basic model of conduction in both metals and semiconductors and clearly explains how this results in different variations of conductivity. 

*There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.*

**Level 2 (3–4 marks)**

Describes the two different mechanisms of conduction but the description lacks detail and precision. Technical vocabulary used correctly but not always with complete clarity.

*There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.*

**Level 1 (1–2 marks)**

Attempts description of at least one type of behaviour and makes relevant points but the answer is limited and superficial.

*There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant.*

**0 marks** No response or no response worthy of credit.

---

**Indicative scientific points may include:**

**Semiconductors**

- Far fewer ‘free’ electrons m\(^{-3}\) than in conductors
- Increased temperature increases energy of atoms in the semiconductor
- Increased temperature reduces fraction \(E/kT\)
- Definition/explanation of Boltzmann factor in relation to this context
- Smaller fraction \(E/kT\) increases magnitude of \(e^{-E/kT}\)
- Linking higher Boltzmann factor to increased number density of charge carriers

**Conductors:**

- Conductivity decreases with increasing temperature
- Cloud of free electrons AW
- Interact with positive ions in lattice
- Electrons accelerate between interactions with lattice due to electric field
- Increase temperature increases lattice vibration
- Reduces mean free path (AW) of free electrons
- Increase in drift velocity lower than increase field strength would suggest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 (c)</td>
<td>Level 3 (5–6 marks)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Indicative scientific points may include: Semiconductors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marshals argument in a clear manner. All technical vocabulary is used with accuracy and clarity. Explains basic model of conduction in both metals and semiconductors and clearly explains how this results in different variations of conductivity.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Far fewer ‘free’ electrons m(^{-3}) than in conductors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Increased temperature increases energy of atoms in the semiconductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Level 2 (3–4 marks)</strong> Describes the two different mechanisms of conduction but the description lacks detail and precision. Technical vocabulary used correctly but not always with complete clarity.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Increased temperature reduces fraction (E/kT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Definition/explanation of Boltzmann factor in relation to this context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Level 1 (1–2 marks)</strong> Attempts description of at least one type of behaviour and makes relevant points but the answer is limited and superficial.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Smaller fraction (E/kT) increases magnitude of (e^{-E/kT})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Linking higher Boltzmann factor to increased number density of charge carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong> No response or no response worthy of credit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total.** 11
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section C</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (a) i</td>
<td>0 = hf₀ - ϕ ⇒ ϕ = h f₀ ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allow f₀ in the range $(0.47 – 0.52) \times 10^{15}$ Hz giving $3.12 \times 10^{19} \leq \phi \leq 3.45 \times 10^{19}$ J</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ϕ = $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} \times 0.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ Hz} = 3.3 \times 10^{-19}$ J ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept bald answer in range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Work function is (minimum) energy required for an electron to escape (the surface) AW ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not all photons interact with electrons on the surface (those ‘deeper’ in the metal require more energy to escape) AW ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Same gradient ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allow f₀ in the range $(0.94 – 1.04) \times 10^{15}$ Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x-intercept at $1.0 \times 10^{15}$ Hz ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Level 3 (5–6 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Marshals argument in a clear manner. All technical vocabulary is used with accuracy and clarity. Makes relevant calculations which are clearly linked to the argument. Recognises reduction in reflected light implies greater transmission, increasing efficiency.&lt;br&gt;<strong>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Level 2 (3–4 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Describes superposition in terms of path difference between light reflecting from the two surfaces and considers effect of change of medium on velocity and/or wavelength of light.&lt;br&gt;<strong>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Level 1 (1–2 marks)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Attempts description of superposition but does not consider the thickness of the layer nor the effect on the efficiency/or considers effect of change of medium on velocity and wavelength of light.&lt;br&gt;<strong>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>0 marks</strong> No response or no response worthy of credit</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Indicative scientific points may include:</strong>&lt;br&gt;- Reflection on boundaries introduces a path difference&lt;br&gt;- Reflected light from boundaries superposes&lt;br&gt;- Principle of superposition stated&lt;br&gt;- reflected waves meeting in antiphase cancel&lt;br&gt;- antiphase if path difference = ((n + \frac{1}{2})\lambda)&lt;br&gt;- velocity of light reduced when entering a region of higher refractive index&lt;br&gt;- wavelength reduced in material of higher refractive index&lt;br&gt;- velocity in silicon monoxide layer [= 2 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}].&lt;br&gt;- wavelength of light in monoxide layer [= 613 \text{ nm/1.5 = 410 nm}]&lt;br&gt;- path difference = 200 nm&lt;br&gt;- path difference [\approx \frac{\lambda}{2}]&lt;br&gt;- reduced reflected intensity&lt;br&gt;- greater proportion of incident light transmitted&lt;br&gt;- greater proportion of incident light interacts with electrons in silicon layer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 a</td>
<td>Change in velocity $\Delta v = V_m + 2 V_M - (-V_m) = 2 V_m + 2 V_M$ ✓</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Can argue directly from momentum but vector nature must be clear. (e.g., original momentum of probe is negative if final momentum positive)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in momentum $= m \Delta v = m \times 2 V_m + 2 V_M$ ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($= 2m (V_m + V_M)$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 b</td>
<td>Any two points from:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Or equal and opposite to answer to (a)/same magnitude as (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Change of momentum of planet $= - [2m(V_m + V_M)]$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Negligible change in velocity of planet (AW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• (As the) planet is so much more massive than the spaceprobe ($M \gg m$). ✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9 c      | $\Delta V_{grav} / \text{Min energy required kg}^{-1} = -GM/5.2 \text{ AU} - (-GM/1 \text{ AU})$ | 4 | No credit for marking points 1 and 2 if p.e. given as:
<p>|          | $= (6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2} \times 2.0 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}) \times (1/1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m} - 1/7.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ m})$ ✓ | | |
|          | $= 7.2 \times 10^8 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ✓ | | |
|          | $\Delta V_{grav} / \text{Min energy required kg}^{-1} = -GM/5.2 \text{ AU} - (-GM/1 \text{ AU})$ | | |
|          | $= (6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2} \times 2.0 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}) \times (1/1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m} - 1/7.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ m})$ ✓ | | |
|          | $= 7.2 \times 10^8 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ✓ | | |
|          | $\Delta V_{grav} / \text{Min energy required kg}^{-1} = -GM/5.2 \text{ AU} - (-GM/1 \text{ AU})$ | | |
|          | $= (6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2} \times 2.0 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}) \times (1/1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m} - 1/7.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ m})$ ✓ | | |
|          | $= 7.2 \times 10^8 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | $= 8.82 \times 10^8 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ (which $&gt; 7.2 \times 10^8 \text{ J}$) ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
|          | k.e. of probe kg$^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1})^2$ ✓ | | |
| Total    | 8      |       |          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Energy produced by solar cells over 8 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ E = 62,100,W \times (8 \times 3600),s = 1.788 \times 10^9,J ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept arguments showing power required during day is less than useful power received from the Sun. Accept (1.57 \times 10^9) J (using 9.8 N kg(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy to lift plane [ E = 2300,\text{kg} \times 9.81,\text{N kg}^{-1} \times 7000,\text{m} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ E = 1.58 \times 10^8,\text{J} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept arguments showing power required during day is less than useful power received from the Sun. Accept (1.57 \times 10^9) J (using 9.8 N kg(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy to charge batteries [ E = 9.4 \times 10^5,\text{J kg}^{-1} \times 630,\text{kg} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ E = 5.92 \times 10^8,\text{J} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept arguments showing power required during day is less than useful power received from the Sun. Accept (1.57 \times 10^9) J (using 9.8 N kg(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ 1.58 \times 10^8,\text{J} + 5.92 \times 10^8,\text{J} = 7.5 \times 10^8,\text{J} &lt; 1.788 \times 10^9,\text{J} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accept arguments showing power required during day is less than useful power received from the Sun. Accept (1.57 \times 10^9) J (using 9.8 N kg(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11       | Intensity at 5.2 AU = \(1.4 \text{ kW m}^{-2}/(5.2)^2\) = 0.052 kW m\(^{-2}\) ✓  
Incident power = 0.052 kW m\(^{-2}\) \(\times\) 60 m\(^2\) = 3.1 kW ✓  
Efficiency = (500 W/3100 W) \(\times\) 100% =16% ✓ | 3     | ecf throughout |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Solar Impulse 2 Data</strong> from p 3 of ANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of solar cells: 17 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area of solar cells: 270 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of batteries: 630 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy storage in batteries: $9.4 \times 10^5$ J kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass of plane: 2300 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency of solar cells: 23 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wingspan: 72 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Juno Data</strong> from p 4 of ANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of solar cells: 19 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area of solar cells: 60 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of spacecraft: 3600 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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